## Wodehouse v Wodehouse

Court of Appeal (Civil Division)

29 November 2018

## **Case Analysis**

Where Reported	[2018] 11 WLUK 492;
Case Digest	Subject: Family law Other related subjects: Administration of justice
	<b>Keywords:</b> Discretionary trusts; Family Court; Financial remedies; Lump sum orders; Non-parties
	<b>Summary:</b> The Court of Appeal allowed an appeal against an order in financial remedy proceedings directing a discretionary trust, of which the husband was a potential beneficiary, to make a lump sum payment to the wife. The judge had had no power under the <u>Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 s.23(1)</u> to make such an order against a third party. A pension sharing order was left undisturbed. The case exemplified the need for a financial remedies court that had only judges experienced to deal with such remedies.
	Held: Appeal allowed in part.
	Judge: Sir Andrew McFarlane PFD; King LJ; Coulson LJ
	<b>Counsel:</b> For the appellant: Phillip Blatchly (Pro bono). For the respondent: Nicholas Barnes (Pro bono).
	Solicitor: For the appellant: Stevens & Bolton.
Significant Legislation Cited	Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 (c.18) s.23(1)
Legislation Cited	Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 (c.18) s.23(1)

© 2019 Thomson Reuters

Westlaw UK